



1FW 2182
+

TRANSMITTAL FORM <i>(to be used for all correspondence during pendency of filed application)</i>	Application Number	09/848,662	
	Filing Date	May 3, 2001	
	First Named Inventor	Sandeep K. Singhal	
	Group Art Unit Number	2182	
	Examiner Name	Angel L. Casiano	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	3	Attorney Docket Number	20423-10011

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form (in duplicate) <input type="checkbox"/> Check Enclosed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt Postcard <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Notice to File Missing Parts <input type="checkbox"/> Assignment & Recordation Cover Sheet <input type="checkbox"/> Declaration <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney <input type="checkbox"/> Application Data Sheet <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement & PTO/SB/08A <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of IDS Cited References <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Corrected Filing Receipt <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Correction of Recorded Assignment <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment : [] Page(s) <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Status Request <input type="checkbox"/> Revocation and Substitute Power of Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Issue Fee Transmittal <input type="checkbox"/> Letter to Chief Draftsperson <input type="checkbox"/> Formal Drawing(s): [] Sheet(s) of Figure(s) [] <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW</u> _____ _____ _____ _____ _____
REMARKS:	

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OR AGENT			
Signature:	/Neil F. Maloney, Reg. No. 42,833/		
Attorney/Reg. No.:	Neil F. Maloney, Reg. No. 42,833	Dated:	November 28, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence, including the enclosures identified above, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. If the Express Mail Mailing Number is filled in below, then this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service pursuant to 37 CFR 1.10.			
Signature:			
Typed or Printed Name:	Nubia Morad	Dated:	November 28, 2005
Express Mail Mailing Number (optional):			




**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT
AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

APPLICANTS: Sandeep K. Singhal et al.
SERIAL NO.: 09/848,662
FILING DATE: May 3, 2001
TITLE: ADAPTING SHORT-RANGE WIRELESS ACCESS POINTS FOR
PARTICIPATION IN A COORDINATED NETWORKED ENVIRONMENT (as
amended)
EXAMINER: Angel L. Casiano
GROUP ART UNIT: 2182
ATTY. DKT. NO.: 20423-10011

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below:

Dated: 11-28-05

By: 
Nubia Morad

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. 133(b) and MPEP 713.04

On November 22, 2005, the Examiner granted the Applicants' attorney the courtesy of a telephonic interview. The purpose of the interview was to discuss the Examiner's advisory action, as well as the Applicants' previous response. In particular, the Applicants' attorney noted that the Examiner's advisory action did not comment on the Applicants' request for reconsideration given their belief that the references of record were not prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as explained in the Applicants' previous response. After additional review of the response during the interview, the Examiner agreed that the Applicants' point merited further

investigation, and requested the Applicants' attorney to call back on November 28, 2005 (after the Thanksgiving holiday).

As requested, the Applicants' attorney called the Examiner back on November 28. During that conference call, the Examiner indicated that he had discussed the Applicants' application with his Supervising Examiner earlier that morning, and that they both agreed to re-open prosecution of the application on the merits. The Examiner further indicated that additional searching may be performed. The Examiner further requested the Applicants to file this interview summary.

The Applicants believe the above remarks to be fully responsive. Favorable action is solicited. The Applicants kindly invite the Examiner to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone, facsimile, or email for efficient resolution, if there are any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted
SANDEEP K. SINGHAL, et al.

Date: November 28, 2005

By: /Neil F. Maloney, Reg. No. 42,833/
Neil F. Maloney
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 42,833
FENWICK & WEST LLP
Silicon Valley Center
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94306
(650) 335-7127
nmaloney@fenwick.com